

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 6851	
09/771,393	01/26/2001	Luis E. Zapata	IL-10541		
75	90 07/15/2003				
Alan H. Thompson			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 808, I Livermore, CA			ZAHN, JEFFREY N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
1			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	ation No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/771,393	ZAPATA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey N Zahn	2828				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF T  Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai  If the period for reply specified abov  If NO period for reply is specified ab  Failure to reply within the set or exte  Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See  Status	HIS COMMUNICATION.  under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ling date of this communication.  e is less than thirty (30) days, a repty ove, the maximum statutory period w anded period for reply will, by statute, r than three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thir ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this comr	nunication.			
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>	lav 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL		s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are	pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are re	ejected.		PAUL IP				
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	AMINER			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies	<del>-</del>						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	the foreign language provi	sional application has be	en received.	phoduony.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D     Information Disclosure Statement	rawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	<u> </u>			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/771.393

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims recite the limitation of "wherein pump light does not pump said gain medium along or parallel to said optical axis." Negative limitations are permitted; however, here, the use of the said negative limitation makes the claim unclear/vague because it is unclear/vague how the gain medium is pumped.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 10-11, 16-21, 28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dixon (US 4847851).

Regarding Claims 1,18, 19, 21 and 32, Dixon discloses a laser comprising:

a solid-state laser gain medium (12) having a first surface and a second surface opposite to and substantially parallel with said first surface (Fig. 1 and Fig. 4), wherein

Application/Control Number: 09/771,393

Art Unit: 2828

said solid state laser gain medium comprises an optical axis that is not parallel with said first surface and said second surface; (the claim reads on the gain medium of Fig. 1 and 4 because the vertical surfaces are not parallel to the optical axis as indicated in the said figures; this claim needs to be more specific to overcome the cited prior art.)

an index matched layer attached to said first surface of said laser gain medium, wherein said index matched layer comprises about the same index of refraction as said laser gain medium and further comprises at least one edge (col. 5, line 55- col. 6, line33); and

means for optically pumping (Fig. 5) said index matched layer from said at least one edge, wherein pump light does not pump said gain medium along or parallel to said optical axis. (this limitation has not been given patentable weight because if the 35 U.S.C. 112, para. 2 rejection discussed above.)

Regarding Claims 5 and 24, Dixon discloses an output reflector on the gain medium (Col. 5, lines 55-65).

Regarding Claim 10, Dixon discloses a slap type solid state laser (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claims 11, 20 and 28, Dixon discloses a means for cooling the laser (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claims 16 and 30, Dixon discloses an anti-reflective coating as claimed (col. 5, line 55- col. 6, line 3)

Regarding Claims 17 and 31, Dixon discloses a component (15) that is used as an output coupler as claimed. (col. 3, line 20- col. 5, line 54)

Application/Control Number: 09/771,393

Art Unit: 2828

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 9 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kozlovsky et al. (US 4764933).

Regarding Claim 2 and 22, Dixon as applied above, lacks pumping from two or more edges. Kozlovsky et al. teaches the use of Multiple diode pumps from different edges to improve the pumping power efficiency of a solid state laser (Fig. 5; see also col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Dixon and Kozlovsky et al. as discussed above to produce a solid state laser, as claimed, with improved efficiency.

Regarding Claims 3, 4 and 23, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456 (CCPA 1955). Here, the Applicant has claimed a range of index of refraction differences. As discussed above Dixon discloses the matching of index of refractions as claimed.

Regarding Claim 9, Disk type solid state laser gain medium is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/771,393

Art Unit: 2828

invention to modify Dixon to include a disk type gain medium as an alternative arrangement either for power considerations or size.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05 May 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, the Amended claims are indefinite for the reasons discussed above under the heading of 35 U.S.C. 112, para. 2 rejections. Consequently, the arguments of the Applicant related to the orientation of the optical axis, first surface and second surface are not persuasive.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,393

Art Unit: 2828

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey N Zahn whose telephone number is 703-305-3443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on 703-308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Jeffrey Zahn July 13, 2003 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800